

REMARKS

A. Objections to Figures

Applicants have amended FIGS. 4, 5, and 13 responsive to the Examiner's objections, as indicated above. FIG. 3 has not been amended since the specification has been amended to include the reference numeral 70.

B. Objection to the Disclosure

The paragraph starting on page 8, line 21 has been amended as indicated above responsive to the Examiner's suggestion.

C. Cancelled Claims

Claims 1-75 have been cancelled without prejudice, waiver, or disclaimer.

D. Claim Rejections and Objections

Applicants are not expressly addressing the validity of assertions made by the Examiner regarding claims 1-75 since the validity of such assertions may not be relevant to the allowance of the currently pending claims 76-115. Therefore, Applicants should not be presumed to agree with any statements made by the Examiner regarding claims 1-75 unless otherwise specifically indicated by Applicants.

E. New Claims

Claim 76-115 have been newly added. The newly added claims 76-115 are adequately supported by the specification. Consideration of new claims 76-115 is respectfully requested. The references cited by the Office Action do not disclose, teach, or suggest the combination of any of the newly added claims 76-115.

Claims 76-95

Claims 76-95 are allowable for at least the reason that none of the cited references teach, suggest, or disclose "outputting by the STT a television signal comprising a portion of said video presentation starting from a location corresponding to said visual scene responsive to the second user input, wherein the location corresponding to said visual

scene is identified by the STT using the information related to said visual scene.”

Claims 96-101

Claims 96-101 are allowable for at least the reason that none of the cited references teach, suggest, or disclose “wherein the location corresponding to said visual scene is identified by the STT using the information related to said visual scene; and wherein the television signal comprising the portion of said video presentation starting from a location corresponding to said visual scene is output after the at least another portion of the video presentation is output as a television signal.”

Claims 102-105

Claims 102-105 are allowable for at least the reason that none of the cited references teach, suggest, or disclose “wherein each of the plurality of locations is associated with a respective user input received by the STT; and wherein each of the plurality of names was selected by a respective user input received by the STT.”

Claims 106-109

Claims 106-109 are allowable for at least the reason that none of the cited references teach, suggest, or disclose “wherein each of the plurality of locations was identified by a respective user input received by the STT, and wherein each of the plurality of names was selected by a respective user input received by the STT.”

Claims 110-111

Claims 110-111 are allowable for at least the reason that none of the cited references teach, suggest, or disclose “outputting by the STT a second television signal responsive to user input received while the first television signal was being output by the STT, the second television signal being configured to encode the second name and an image corresponding to the second location.”

Claims 112-114

Claims 112-114 are allowable for at least the reason that none of the cited references teach, suggest, or disclose “providing a portion of the video presentation starting from a location corresponding to said one of the plurality of names.”


Claim 115

Claim 115 is allowable for at least the reason that none of the cited references teach, suggest, or disclose “receiving a second user input configured to request said visual scene in said video presentation after the STT has output the at least another portion of the video presentation; and outputting by the STT a television signal comprising a portion of said video presentation starting from a location corresponding to said visual scene responsive to the second user input, wherein the location corresponding to said visual scene is identified by the STT using the information related to said visual scene.”

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully maintain that the currently pending claims 76-115 are in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner have any comments or suggestions that would place the subject patent application in better condition for allowance, he is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned attorney at (770) 933-9500.

**THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER
& RISLEY, L.L.P.**



Sami O. Malas; Reg. No. 44,893
Attorney for Applicant

Thomas, Kayden, Horstemeyer & Risley, LLP
100 Galleria Parkway, NW
Atlanta, GA 30339
Ph: (770) 933 - 9500
Fax: (770) 951 - 0933





FIG. 4



5 / 13

100





FIG. 5



13 / 13

180

Video-On-Demand	
Current Rental ◀ 1 of 3 ▶	Stopped
<div>PLAY FROM</div> <div>End Rental</div> <div>Beginning</div> <div>Current location 2:15</div> <div>Bookmark 1 (0:13)</div> <div>Bookmark 2 (1:20)</div>	
<div>SEL</div>	
<div>Titanic</div> <div>1998 Drama, R</div> <div>3 hours 15 minutes</div> <div>Time Remaining</div> <div>Playing Time: 0:30 <input type="text"/></div> <div>Rental Period: 1:00 <input type="text"/></div> <div>Rental ends Sat 1 / 22 1:30pm</div>	<div>182</div> <div>183</div> <div>184</div>
<div>A Service Guide</div> <div>B Set Bookmark</div> <div>C Movie Catalog</div>	

FIG. 13